

**A. Undetermined Coefficients.** As you can see, variation of parameters can be quite the hassle. Let's chart a different tack. Consider again:

$$\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f}(t)$$

We select a **trial solution** based on the form of the forcing term  $\mathbf{f}$ .

In the above scenario, if the forcing term has form:

$$\mathbf{f}(t) = e^{ct}\mathbf{b} \text{ where } \mathbf{b} \text{ is a constant vector}$$

then, if  $\lambda = c$  is **not**:

pick trial solution:  $\mathbf{x}_p =$

but, if  $\lambda = c$  appears:

pick trial solution:  $\mathbf{x}_p =$

The problem when  $\lambda = c$  is an eigenvalue of  $\mathbf{A}$  is that there are vectors of the form  $e^{ct}\mathbf{v}$  that already solve the homogeneous equation  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}$ , and so have no chance of solving when there is a **nonzero** forcing term  $\mathbf{f}$ . The strategy we use here is very similar to when we applied undetermined coefficients to 2nd-order differential equations, where we multiplied by powers of  $t$  for repeated roots. It is not quite as simple here, because we are dealing with functions multiplied by **vectors**.

**Example 1.** Use undetermined coefficients to find a solution to  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{f}(t)$

where:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{f}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e^{2t} \\ e^{2t} \end{pmatrix}$$

**Example 2.** Use undetermined coefficients to find a solution to  $\mathbf{x}' = \mathbf{Ax} + \mathbf{f}$  where:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{f} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Here is how you would finish solving the system after we removed the redundant equations. Our remaining equations can be rewritten with constants on the right:

$$\text{I: } a_2 - b_2 = 0$$

$$\text{II: } 2a_2 - a_1 + b_1 = 0$$

$$\text{III: } a_1 - a_0 + b_0 = 1$$

$$\text{IV: } b_1 - a_0 + b_0 = 0$$

So converted to augmented matrix:

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccccc|c} a_2 & b_2 & a_1 & b_1 & a_0 & b_0 & \\ \hline 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

Next eliminate the pivot in the 2nd row by executing  $\text{II} \mapsto \text{II} - 2\text{I}$

$$\left( \begin{array}{cccccc|c} a_2 & b_2 & a_1 & b_1 & a_0 & b_0 & \\ \hline \color{blue}{1} & \color{red}{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & -1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right)$$

This is now in row-echelon form, where the pivots have been colored blue. The columns of  $a_0$  and  $b_0$  do not contain pivots, so those variables are free, meaning we can assign them any values. For simplicity: we pick  $a_0 = b_0 = 0$ . Our four equations become:

$$\text{I: } a_2 - b_2 = 0$$

$$\text{II: } 2b_2 - a_1 = 0$$

$$\text{III: } a_1 = 1$$

$$\text{IV: } b_1 = 0$$

which we solve by back substitution to find  $a_2 = b_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $a_1 = 1$ ,  $b_1 = 0$ . We combine this with the earlier  $a_0 = b_0 = 0$ .

Solving the resulting system is a little tedious, so the steps have been executed in the margin.